

DIRECTORATE OF URBAN AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT OF MEGHALAYA
Raitong Building, Secretariat Hill, Shillong -793001

No.DUA/P/7/2016/15

Dated: Shillong the, 23rd September 2016

“Request for Proposal for Recruitment of Consulting Firm for Project Development and Management Consultant (PDMC) for AMRUT, Meghalaya”

CLARIFICATIONS ON PRE BID QUERIES

Based on queries raised on the RFP document issued vide No. DUA/P/7/2016/5 dated 01.09.2016 during the Pre-Bid meeting held on 14.09.2016, the following clarification is issued.

SI No	RFP Reference No	Content of RFP Requiring Clarifications	Proposed	Clarifications from the Department
A	SREI INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCE LIMITED			
1	10.1	The Consultant shall fully familiarize themselves about the applicable to Domestic taxes (such as: value added or sales tax, service tax or income taxes, duties, fees, levies) on amounts payable by the Employer under the Contract. All such taxes must be included by the consultant in the financial proposal	It is suggested to have the financial quote “exclusive” of Applicable Taxes owing to the uncertainty in tax slabs under GST regime and also there are certain taxes, cess etc implied upon during the applicable project agreement period	Agreed, the evaluation will be made exclusive of taxes. However, bidders are requested to indicate the tax as per existing rates.
2	12.2 IV	If the First ranked consultant withdraws his proposal during negotiations (failure to arrive at consensus by both the parties shall not be construed as withdrawal of proposal by the consultant)	This clause should be removed as negotiations have a chance of not arriving to a consensus. Also, the Bidder provides quotes on its best understanding of the project and may not agree to certain futuristic issues pertaining to costs etc during negotiation	As per RFP
	PART II			
3	4	Date & time of submission of proposal bid: 23/09/2016 by 2pm	Owing to the scale of the project and manpower requirement, it is evident to have considerable tie-ups for doing justice for the project. Hence, it is	Date of submission has been extended till 07.10.2016 by 2 pm vide No. No.DUA/P/7/2016/8 dtd. 20.09.2016

			requested to extend the date of submission of proposal by 2 weeks	
4	Page 17, after SI No 18	For eligibility, the Consultant shall have atleast: Should have Experience in atleast 5 (Five) similar assignments (Project Management Units/ Support Units/ Technical Support or Coordinator Consultants / Project Planning and Design / Preparation of Detailed Project Reports for similar assignments at government levels (Central/ State/ Municipal)	It is hoped that this eligibility criteria is applicable for the Sole Bidder or the Lead Member of the Consortium. Please confirm	Agreed for Sole Bidder but for Consortium / JV's/ Sub Consultancy combined experience will be considered.
5	None	None	The project assumes a greater man-month involvement and longer engagement period. As such, it is suggested to have a marking parameter on Financial Capacity also. There should be slabs over and above the minimum requirement for which additional marks may be allotted. For example, it is suggested to have 10 marks for financial capacity and it may be allotted in the following manner: 5 marks for having minimum average Turnover of Rs 10 crores for last 3 years Additional 5 marks for having minimum average Turnover of more than Rs. 10 crores This additional marks of 10 for financial capacity may be adjusted with the Specific Experience in Sewerage & Drainage	As per RFP
6	Page 17,	Experience as project	i. There is discrepancy in	Refer Corrigendum

	Table- i a	<p>management consultant/Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 100 lakh . (1 mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks): Maximum marks is 20</p>	<p>the suggested way of marks allotment and the maximum marks allotted. It is suggested to have maximum marks of 10 for this category with 2 marks for each eligible project. ii. Also, in this category, as Design is also a part of eligibility, it is suggested to consider experience of preparation of DPR in this sector wherein minimum project cost is iii. Keeping in view of the experience covered under multiple cities it is suggested to consider each city as one eligible project under the same contract. Based on the above, this clause may be rephrased as: Experience as project management consultant/Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs100 lakh and the number of projects shall be considered on the basis of number of towns/cities covered under the contract with consultancy fees of Rs.100 lakh & above. For experience in preparation of DPR in this sector, eligible projects shall be considered wherein the Project cost is Rs. 50 crores or more. (2mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks)</p>	
7		Experience as project management consultant/	i. There is discrepancy in the suggested way of	Refer Corrigendum

		<p>Design and/or Supervision consultant in urban transportation sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh. (0.6 mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks): Maximum Marks is 6.</p>	<p>marks allotment and the maximum marks allotted. It is suggested to have maximum marks with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh. (0.6 mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks): Maximum Marks is 6.</p> <p>of 5 for this category with 1 mark for each eligible project ii. Keeping in view of the experience covered under multiple cities it is suggested re-phrase the clause as: Experience as project management consultant/ Design and/or Supervision consultant in urban transportation sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh and the number of projects shall be considered on the basis of number of towns/cities covered under the contract with consultancy fees of Rs.50 lakh & above. (1 mark per project subject to maximum 5 marks): Maximum Marks is 5.</p>	
8		<p>Experience of carrying out studies in Urban Sector (City development/ Strategic Plan, Master plans, City Sanitation Plans, Baseline Assessments, Financial Assessments, City Investment Plan, Vision documents, City Business plans, Non-revenue water study). (0.4 marks per project subject to</p>	<p>i. There is discrepancy in the suggested way of marks allotment and the maximum marks allotted. It is suggested to have maximum marks of 5 for this category with 1 mark for each eligible project ii. Keeping in view of the experience covered under multiple cities it is suggested re-phrase the</p>	Refer corrigendum

		maximum 10 marks). Maximum Marks is 4	clause as: Experience of carrying out studies in Urban Sector (City development/ Strategic Plan, Master plans, City Sanitation Plans, Baseline Assessments, Financial Assessments, City Investment Plan, Vision documents, City Business plans, Nonrevenue water study) and the number of projects shall be considered on the basis of number of towns/cities covered under the contract. (1 mark per project subject to maximum 5 marks)	
9	Page 21	For all the above positions, following sub-criteria shall be followed: a) Educational Qualifications: 20%; b) Adequacy for assignment / job: 80%	It is assumed that 20% marks is scored if minimum educational qualification as required is satisfied for. Further, for certain Key Personnel whose CVs to be evaluated, like for example Hydraulic / Drainage Expert, there are 2-3 parameters in the Minimum Experience field but number of projects is not mentioned. It becomes subjective about the marking of rest of the 80%. Please clarify whether distribution of marks on adequacy of assignment shall be done on different project experiences in related fields	As per RFP
10	Page 17-18 Table specific experience Sl No A, B, C	None	Please confirm whether experience of projects which are undertaken under Private Clientele in foreign countries to be eligible under the specific	Yes, with duly certified CVs

			criteria.	
11	None		Please clarify about the maximum number of consortium members allowed.	Maximum Three Nos
12	Page 19, Evaluation of Each Key Personnel	a) Educational Qualification: 20% b) Adequacy for the assignment: 70% c) Experience in Urban Sector Projects in State: 10%	i. Regarding Sl No c), please confirm whether "Experience in Urban Sector Projects in State" means Experience in Urban Sector Projects in Meghalaya. If it is Meghalaya, it is suggested to be removed to bring in parity among professionals who have rich experience and to extend their experience to Meghalaya also. ii. It is suggested to reorganize the evaluation criteria as follows: a. Educational Qualification: 30% b. Adequacy for the assignment: 60% c. Experience in Urban Sector Projects in India: 10%	Refer corrigendum
13	Section 3, Page 24	Form 2B: Format for financial capacity of the Consultant	i. In this Form, it is required to mention the Net Profit along with the Annual Turnover. It is suggested to delete the Net Profit section as it is immaterial as per the RFP requirements wherein only Average Annual Turnover of minimum Rs. 10 crores is required. Nowhere, net profit requirement is mentioned ii. It is also mentioned that in case of consortium, Turnover and Net Profit has to be shown for each member. Now as per the RFP conditions, only Financial Capacity of Lead Member is to be considered, hence it is	Refer Corrigendum

			suggested to remove this requirement.	
14	Page 39, Form FIN 1	We, the undersigned, offer to provide the consulting Assignment/job for [Insert title of Assignment/job] in accordance with your Request for Proposal dated [Insert Date] and our Technical Proposal. Our attached Financial Proposal is for the sum of [Insert amount(s) in words and figures1]. This amount is inclusive of the Domestic taxes. We hereby confirm that the financial proposal is unconditional and we acknowledge that any condition attached to financial proposal shall result in reject of our financial proposal. Our Financial Proposal shall be binding upon us subject to the modifications resulting from Contract negotiations, up to expiration of the validity period of the Proposal, i.e. before the date indicated in Paragraph 4 of the Part II Data Sheet	It is suggested to re-phrase this as: We, the undersigned, offer to provide the consulting Assignment/job for [Insert title of Assignment/job] in accordance with your Request for Proposal dated [Insert Date] and our Technical Proposal. Our attached Financial Proposal is for the sum of [Insert amount(s) in words and figures1]. This amount is exclusive of all applicable taxes. We hereby confirm that the financial proposal is unconditional and we acknowledge that any condition attached to financial proposal shall result in reject of our financial proposal. Our Financial Proposal shall be binding upon us subject to the modifications resulting from Contract negotiations which is mutually acceptable, up to expiration of the validity period of the Proposal, i.e. before the date indicated in Paragraph 4 of the Part II Data Sheet	As per RFP
15	Page 40, Form FIN-2	Summary of Costs	<p>i. In this Form, Non Competitive component is already mentioned. Please clarify whether this fixed for all the applicants or it can be changed within a percentage range of the mentioned amount. If so, please indicate the range.</p> <p>ii. Please remove</p>	<p>i) Non competitive component is fixed for all the applicants.</p> <p>ii)As mentioned in query no 1. Template is as per RFP.</p>

			mentioning of Service Tax/Any other tax in the summary as it is suggested to form the financial quote exclusive of taxes	
16		Breakdown of reimbursable components	<p>i. Herein also, the amount against each head is filled in. Is it indicative for Shillong? Please clarify</p> <p>ii. It is assumed that the Consultant is free to change the figures in this Form as it is part of Competitive Components. Please clarify</p>	<p>i) The costs mentioned are indicative.</p> <p>ii) Yes</p>
B	STESALIT SYSTEMS LTD.			
17	Section 2, Sub Section 3, Clause 3.1; Pg 4	If the consultant has formed an association of consultants, each member of the association of consultant shall be evaluated as per the qualification/ eligibility criteria set forth in Part II Data Sheet. The combined score of the each member of the association of consultant shall be taken into account for evaluation purpose. If any member of the association of consultants is dropped at the RFP stage, such an association of consultant is liable to be rejected by the Employer.	One of the very significant purposes of forming an association of consultants is to leverage on certain value propositions which individually would not be possible for consultants to demonstrate. In most cases, consortiums are formed to add credibility to the overall strength of the association and addressing to project implementation collaboratively. Thus, we request you to evaluate the proposal of the “association of consultants” collectively and not “individually” as per given eligibility criteria.	As per RFP. Evaluated score of all the partners will be taken into consideration.
18	Section 2, Sub Section 3, Clause 3.2; Pg 4	A consultant may associate with consultants and /or individual expert at the time of submission of proposal with. Under such circumstances each member of the association of consultant shall be evaluated as per the	On the basis of our suggestion to Point 1, we request you to evaluate the proposal of the “association of consultants” collectively and not “individually” as per given eligibility criteria.	Refer SI No 17

		<p>qualification/ eligibility criteria set forth in Part II data Sheet. The combined score of the each member of the association of consultant shall be taken into account for evaluation purpose. However, the Employer shall deal with only the lead member for the purpose of this assignment. Although the contract shall be signed by all the members of the associations of the consultants, the lead member of the association of the consultant shall be responsible and liable to the Employer for every aspects of their proposal, contract etc.</p>		
19	<p>Section 2, SubSection 7, Clause 7.1; Pg. 6</p>	<p>Consultants may only submit one proposal. If a Consultant submits or participates in more than one proposal, such proposals shall be disqualified. However, this does not limit the participation of the same SubConsultant, including individual experts, to more than one proposal.</p>	<p>Kindly clarify, if the term "Subconsultant" will mean a "Consortium Partner". If yes, please clarify if a consultant may associate with more than one Lead Firm for the Project</p>	<p>Sub consultant will mean a consortium partner and the consultant is free to associate with more than one lead firm.</p>
20	<p>Section 2, subSection 9, Clause 9.3, (a), Pg. 7</p>	<p>If a Consultant considers that it may enhance its expertise for the Assignment/job by associating with other Consultants in sub-consultancy, it may associate with other Consultant</p>	<p>Kindly, Clarify If "sub-consultancy" here refers to a Consortium / Associate Firm/Joint Venture. Also, request you to disallow sub-contracting as that may create unnecessary challenges in project execution.</p>	<p>As in 19 above</p>
21	<p>Section 2, subSection</p>	<p>Technical Negotiations.....These documents will then be</p>	<p>It is expected that the work would be negotiated within the initial scope</p>	<p>The work will be negotiated within the initial scope</p>

	on 16, clause 16.2& 16.3, Pg. 12	incorporated in the Contract as "Description of Assignment/job". Financial Negotiations..... Under no circumstance, the financial negotiation shall result in to increase in the price originally quoted by the consultant.	mentioned in the ToR. In case the scope of work increases, there shall be a provision of increase in the consultant's quote too. Please accept for revision of the clause.	mentioned in the TOR. In case the scope of work increases or decreases the contract amount may be revised without any change in the quoted rates for all the competitive components.
22	Section-2, Part II , DATA SHEET, Point 10, Pg. 14	Name of Sub Consultant (if proposed) shall also be mentioned along with technical details	Kindly clarify, If a "sub-consultant" here means an associate / consortium / JV firm.	Refer SI 19 above.
23	Section-2; Procedure for Detailed evaluation of technical qualifications, Point 1, Pg.17	The Consultant (in case of single business entity) / Lead Member (in case of Consortium) should have a minimum average annual turnover of Indian Rs. 10 (Ten) Crores during the last three (3) financial years	We request the client to kindly amend the clause to "minimum average annual turnover of Indian Rs. 5 (five) Crores during the last three (3) financial years;	Refer Corrigendum
24	Section 2; Procedure for Detailed evaluation of technical qualifications, Point i.a. Pg.17	In table under this section, Point (i) sub criteria (a) reads: Experience as project management consultant/ Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs100 lakh. (1 mark per project subject maximum 10 marks) – Total marks allotted is 2	This may be typological error and suggested change is mentioned below : Experience as project management consultant/ Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 100 lakh. (2 marks per project subject to 20 marks and maximum 10 projects). Kindly affirm. The Consultant requests to include Detailed Project Report (DPR) as an	Refer Corrigendum

			experience under this criterion.	
25	Section 2; Procedure for Detailed evaluation of technical qualifications, Point i.b., Pg.17	In table under this section, Point (i) sub criteria B) reads: Experience as project management consultant/ Design and/or Supervision consultant in urban transportation sector issues with value of Contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh. (0.6 marks per project subject to maximum 10 marks)	We suggest this clause should include scope of other urban projects, especially housing projects and not only restricted to Transport Sector. As AMRUT is related to housing we suggest inclusion of housing projects and other planning projects under this clause. Kindly affirm the amendment of the same. Also, request to provide marks on the quantitative value of the project and not only restrict to no. of projects. We mean to say, if a single such project having value of 2 Cr (4 times of Rs. 50 Lakh) then marks to be awarded as $(0.6*4) = 2.4$	As per RFP.
26	Section 2; Procedure for Detailed evaluation of technical qualifications, Point i.c., Pg.17	Experience of carrying out studies in Urban Sector (City development/ Strategic Plan, Master plans, City Sanitation Plans, Baseline Assessments, Financial Assessments, City Investment Plan, Vision documents, City Business plans, Non-revenue water study). (0.4 marks per project subject to maximum 10 marks)	The consultant requests to accept that single work order having multiple cities/towns to be considered as separate plans.	Agreed.
27	Section 2; For evaluation of each of the key professionals the following	Table under this clause, point C, reads: Experience of Urban Sector projects in State	We request the client to kindly consider not only state but regional experience, meaning experience in the seven north eastern states and West Bengal (due to its close proximity)	Refer corrigendum

	sub criteria shall be followed. Page 19			
28	Section 4; Form Fin 2; Table Section B; Pg. 40	Provision sum and contingency amount is pronounced under this clause already	Request the client to kindly clarify if the consultant shall consider these figures only during preparation of financial proposal or is it just an example.	Non- competitive component is fixed for all the applicants
29	Section 4; Form Fin 4; Table Section B; Pg. 42	(To be customized by the State as per the requirement. The assumption is based on 42 months with 1 office. The quantity to be corrected as per the State)	The consultant understands that the rates pre-determined and pronounced under this clause shall only be considered during the preparation of Financial proposal by the client and only be amended by the client if necessary. Kindly Clarify	The costs mentioned are indicative.
30	Section 5: Clause 6.2; Pg. 58	Qualification Requirements for the Key experts: Team Leader cum Urban Management Specialist: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Graduate in Engineering or Post Graduate in Management/ Master's in Planning. • 15 years' experience in construction • management of Urban Service delivery(Water Supply/ Sewerage/ Drainage/Transportation/ Drainage/ Solid Waste Management) • Experience in leading the team • Experience in FIDIC contract conditions 	The consultant request the client to kindly consider 10 years of experience for the team leader's position as it is broadly asked for under similar category of RFPs.	Years of experience indicated is preferred years of experience. However, it does not bar anyone with lesser years of experience.
31	General Query	Project Budget	The consultant request for clarity on the possible estimated project budget for placing a competitive financial bid for the project.	Such information cannot be provided

32	General Query	Extension of submission deadline	Request the department to give at-least 10 days' time after the formal disclosure of the pre-bid queries or issue of corrigendum/addendum. This is required for a competent bid submission.	Refer SI 3 above.
C FEEDBACK INFRA PRIVATE LTD.				
33	RFP document Page No.9	EMD	We request to reduce the EMD amount to Rs.2 lac	Refer Corrigendum
34	RFP document Page No.9	EMD	We request to accept the EMD in the form of Bank Guarantee also.	Yes
35	RFP document Page No.17	Experience as project management consultant/ Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs100 lakh. (1 mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks)	Experience as project management consultant/ Design and /or Supervision consultant in urban (Sewerage and Drainage) sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs.35 lakh. (1 mark per project subject to maximum 10 marks) We request you to revise the evaluation criteria.	As per RFP
		Experience as project management consultant/ Design and/or Supervision consultant in urban transportation sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh. (0.6 marks per project subject to maximum 10 marks)	Experience as project management consultant/ Design and/or Supervision consultant in urban transportation sector / Highway sector issues with value of contract (for consultancy service) of at least Rs 50 lakh. (0.6 marks per project subject to maximum 10 marks) We request you to revise the evaluation criteria.	Refer Corrigendum
36	RFP document Page No.47-57	Survey	Please provide the number and type of surveys to be conducted	To be finalised during negotiation.
37	RFP document	Survey	Please provide the areas to be covered under topographic	

	Page No.47-57		surveys, if desired under the assignment.					
38	RFP document Page No.47-57	Survey	Please provide the sample size to be adopted for the surveys.					
39	RFP document Page No.47-57	Data Available	We understand that Client will provide the previous studies/reports (Topographical survey, Geotech survey, Master Plan, DPR etc.)	Yes				
40	RFP document Page No.59	6.2 Qualification Requirements for the Key Experts	<p>Considering the limited availability of the specialized experts suitable to the assignment, we request to revise the qualification requirement of the following expert:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Experts Title</th> <th>Qualifications & Skills</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>PPMS</td> <td>Graduate Engineer/ UrbanPlanner/ MCA / Post Graduate in relevant field</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Experts Title	Qualifications & Skills	PPMS	Graduate Engineer/ UrbanPlanner/ MCA / Post Graduate in relevant field	Qualification indicated in RFP is a preferred qualification.
Experts Title	Qualifications & Skills							
PPMS	Graduate Engineer/ UrbanPlanner/ MCA / Post Graduate in relevant field							
41	RFP document Page No.89	SC clause 8 'Limitation of Consultants Liability' Clause	<p>We request to modify the 'Limitation of Consultants Liability' Clause in the Special Condition of Contract, which is in line with the general bidding practices in India:</p> <p>"Notwithstanding anything contained in this Contract, RFP or any other document, the maximum amount of aggregate liability and indemnity of Consultant in any event shall not be more than the fee received by the Consultant till that date".</p>	As per RFP				
42	RFP document Page No.89	SC clause 9 Special Condition of Contract	This Clause refers to Third Party motor vehicle liability insurance in respect of motor	As per RFP				

		3.5 Risks and the insurance	vehicles Act, 1988 by the Consultant or its personnel or any sub-consultants or their personnel, for the period of consultancy. It is not applicable on us; being a consultancy organization firm. We suggest removing this Clause.	
43	RFP document Page No.14	Clause 4 Date & time for online submission of proposal / bid	Please provide at least 20 days for preparation of proposal after issuing the clarification on pre-bid queries.	Refer SI 3 above.
44	Additional	Consultancy Budget	We request you to provided the Consultancy budget for this project.	No budget can be provided.
D	IPE GLOBAL LIMITED			
45	Page 9; Clause 12 and 13	Payment of EMD and Bid Processing Fee	The referred clause states that the EMD and Bid Processing Fee needs to paid through Demand Draft. The Consultants would request for a clarification on whether the DDs can be made in any bank or in a nationalized/scheduled bank only. Please clarify.	Any Nationalised Bank.
46	Page 17; Data Sheet; Clause 15.7	Mode of Selection	The referred clause indicates the qualification criteria to be QCBS (70:30). The Consultant would like to suggest that since this is Technically demanding project, high weightage should be given to the technical strength and competence of a firm. Hence the Consultant would like to submit that the technical qualifying criteria be revised to QCBS (80:20). This may please be considered.	As per RFP
47	Page 17;	Specific Experience of Consultant –Allocation of Marks-(a)	The referred clause states that the marks allocated per project is 1 mark subject to a maximum of 10 marks.	Refer Corrigendum

			However the total marks allocated for Clause "a" shows it to be 20 marks. This may please be clarified.	
48	Page 41	Note Point no. 3: <i>"...Indicate separately staff-month rate for each activity separately..."</i>	The Consultant would request for a clarification on the referred clause.	Refer Corrigendum
49	Page 19	Sub-Criteria for Evaluation of Key Professional	The referred clause states that 10% of marks have been allocated for experience of key professionals in the specific state of Meghalaya. The Consultant would request to kindly revise the clause as below: "Experience of Urban Sector in similar geographical areas". This may please be considered.	Refer Corrigendum
50	Pg. 49	The Consulting Firms should explore the possibility of using Public Private Partnerships (PPP), which should be the preferred execution model.	As the ToR has requirement of PPP as a preferred mode of execution. We understand there is a requirement of a PPP expert/ Transaction advisor.	As per RFP
51	Pg. 49	DPR only for Urban Transport needs to be prepared.	Since the DPR for transport is yet to be prepared, we understand, there is one position of Transport expert with 3 man months. We request you to extend this man month for him to complete the task at hand well.	As per RFP
52	Pg. 54 clause 5.6	Construction Supervision and Contract Management.	As per the Scope of work there is a significant component of Construction Supervision and Contract Management. To execute this, we request you to include a position for Construction Manager and 2 support engineers.	As per RFP. Construction Manager and Support Engineers will be provided.
53	Pg 42,	Per diem allowance,	We request you to please	The costs

	FORM FIN - 4	including hotel allowance, for experts for every day of absence from the home office for the purposes of the Services	increase the per diem from Rs. 800 to Rs. 2000 per day. This increment is necessary to cover the cost of stay and food expenses in Shillong city.	mentioned are indicative.
54	Pg 42, FORM FIN - 4	Provisionals and Other sums	Please clarify if these are indicative sums and we may alter them as per our understanding and estimation of work requirement.	Non- competitive component is fixed.
55	GENERAL	Inclusion of Escalation Clause/ Price Adjustment Clause	<p>As the project duration is more than 18 months and based on procurement guidelines of multilateral funding agencies, the escalation need to be granted to support the project. Hence Escalation clause shall be calculated as per the following formula:</p> <p>Remuneration paid in local currency pursuant to the rates, shall be adjusted every 12 months by applying the following formula:</p> $R_1 = R_{10} \times I_1 / I_{10}$ <p>where</p> <p>R_1 is the adjusted remuneration;</p> <p>R_{10} is the remuneration payable on the basis of the remuneration rates in local currency;</p> <p>I_1 is the official index for salaries in the Client's country for the first month for which the adjustment is to have effect; and</p> <p>I_{10} is the official index for salaries in the Client's country for the month of the date of the Contract.</p>	No escalation cost as per RFP.
56	GENERAL	Extension of Last Date of Submission	The Consultant would request for an extension of at least two weeks from the date of issue of clarification. This may	Refer SI 3 above.

			please be considered.	
E	WAPCOS LIMITED			
57	Page No 17	Procedure for detailed evaluation of technical qualification: Specific experience of the consultant firm relevant to the assignment/ job	In the sub – criteria of Sewerage and Drainage experience total marks is mentioned as 20 whereas in particulars it is mentioned as 1 mark per project subject to 10 marks. Kindly confirm the total marks and marks per project in this category	Refer Corrigendum
58	Page No 42	Form FIN -4	Please clarify whether the total amount mentioned against the reimburse expenses are the maximum ceilings or the same can be changed as per the financial offer of the consultant.	The costs mentioned in RFP are indicative
59	Page No 42; Form FIN – 4	Cost of reports production (including printing) and delivering to the client at Shillong Meghalaya	The Unit mentioned is Lump sum whereas the quantity is shown as 42. Please clarify whether the quote for report production is required on lump sum basis or monthly basis.	As per RFP. The bidders have to quote lump sum per month
60	Page No 42; Form FIN -4	Provisional Sums	Considering the quantum of work, the amount kept under Provisional Sums appears to be on lower end. It is required to kindly enhance the amount of Provisional Sums	As per RFP
61	Page No. 56	The total duration of the assignment shall be 42 months	Please specify whether this duration of 42 months is inclusive or exclusive of Defect Liability Period (DLP) In case of exclusive, please confirm the mode of payment to the Consultant for the expenditures incurred during DLP	Exclusive of Defect Liability Period. Will be considered in case-to-case basis.
62	Page No.62	Reporting requirements and time Scheduled for Deliverables	The time schedule for inception report only is given. Please specify the Time frame for all the deliverables reports.	To be finalised during negotiation.
63	Page No. 86;	Liquidated Damages	It is requested to define the	Refer

	General conditions of Contract		percentage of liquidated Damages.	Corrigendum
--	--------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	-------------


(B. Dutta)

Director, Urban Affairs
Directorate of Urban Affairs, Government of Meghalaya
Raitong Building, Secretariat Hill, Shillong, Meghalaya - 793001
E-mail: duashillong@yahoo.co.in
☎ Tele No: 0364-2210037

Memo.No. No.DUA/P/7/2016/15-A,

Dated: Shillong the, 23rd September 2016

Copy to: -

1. The State Informatics Officer, NIC, Meghalaya for uploading in the website from 23rd September, 2016.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, SMB, Shillong for uploading in the website from 23rd September, 2016.
3. The Secretary, Meghalaya Urban Development Authority for information.
4. Firms concerned.


(B. Dutta)

Director, Urban Affairs,
Meghalaya, Shillong